

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/267,223	03/11/1999	BRADLEY S. RICHTER	EFIM0205	6746
31408 7590 03/22/2007 LAW OFFICE OF JAMES TROSINO 92 NATOMA STREET, SUITE 211 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105		•	EXAMINER	
		•	GARCIA, GABRIEL I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A 4' October 2011	09/267,223	RICHTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gabriel I. Garcia	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	hruary 2007	•				
7=	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
ologod in accordance with the practice and a	x parte quayro, 1000 C.D. 11, 10					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1.19(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/267,223

Art Unit: 2625

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-8 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battat et al. (5,958,012) in view of Motoba (20020048034)

With regard to claim 1, Battat et al teaches a printing system (e.g. fig. 1), comprising: a network (e.g. col. 4, lines 47-65); a plurality of output printing devices coupled to the network (e.g. col. 4, lines 47-65), col. 17, lines 36-54, and col. 18, lines 66-67), each output printing coupled the network (110), each output printing device comprising status information (e.g. col. 1, lines 18-65 and col. 5, lines 27-39); a client computer coupled to the network, the client computer adapted to submit a print job to any of the output printing devices(see fig. 11 and col. 17, lines 36-54); an application connected to the network, the application adapted to receive the status information regarding all of the output printing devices, (e.g. col. 1, lines 48-67, col. 5, lines 27-39, and col. 6, lines 2-26), filtering the status information relevant to the print job submitting by the client computer, and displaying the filtered status information (e.g. col. 6, lines 2-26). Battat does not teach determining which of the received status information. However, Motoba (in the same field of endeavor, "network printing") teaches that it is well known in the art of

Application/Control Number: 09/267,223

Art Unit: 2625

network printing to determining which of the received status information is relevant to the submitted print job, and extracting the relevant status information [557]. Therefore, it would have been obvious of one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the filtering system of Battat with the means to further determine the status of jobs being printed as taught by Motoba because of the following reasons: 1) it will allow the network user(s) of Battat to selectively receive the status of current jobs being printed; and 2) it will improve the filtering system of Barret by allowing more accurate status report, and allowing the reporting of jobs being printed.

With regard to claims 2 and/or 3, Battat et al teaches wherein the output printing device is a printer or copier (e.g. col. 18, lines 66-67).

With regard to claims 4 and 7, Battat et al. inherently teaches wherein the at least one of the plurality of features is a paper output (or input) tray information (e.g. col. 3, lines 46-67, the service level or trouble reports can incorporate paper information).

With regard to claims 5 and 6, Battat et al. inherently teaches wherein said at least one of said plurality of features is teaches wherein said output printing device is toner level information or fuser level information (e.g. col. 3, lines 46-67, the trouble reports can incorporate toner or fuser level information).

With regard to claim 8, Battat et al inherently teaches wherein said at least one of said plurality of features is output printing device service information (e.g. col. 3, lines 46-67).

With regard to claim 10, Battat et al further comprises a client computer that comprises the application (e.g. col. 2, lines 28-53).

Conclusion

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see arguments filed 2/15/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8 and 10 under Battat et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Battat et al. and Motoba '034.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gabriel I. Garcia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7434. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-6:00 PM. The fax phone number for this group is 571-273-8300.

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Art Unit: 2625

information for unpublished applications is available through Private **PAIR** only. For more information about the **PAIR** system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private **PAIR** system, contact the Electronic Business Center (**EBC**) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gabriel I. Garcia Primary Examiner March 16, 2007

GABRIEL I. GARCIA PRIMARY EXAMINER